10/13/71

Dear Mr. Joy,

Read your 10/6 when it arrived this a.m. You are correct in saying that enough work has not been done on the ballistics, and I recall your intriguing tracing of the angles. However, the problem with all of this is that we have the most dubious raw material. Every mist ke I've made is because I rusted any of the official story. I am absolutely certain that they have lied to us about every wound. If this is the case, how can you project baskward?

I am noless certain they lied about the ammo, regardless of the rifle, something I do not recall your addressing with me. I used to have a slight knowledge of such things, and for FRAME-UP I had to do more looking into it, as you will recall if you read it.

Please believe me, if Sprague says high noon is daylight, have a question about it. He is as decent anddedicated as he is usually wrong. He presumes and consider that proof and never checks out anything he likes, fearing disproof. He really knows much less about the subject than his writing would indicate.

Willis' 5th had to have been taken by Z204. You haven't allowed reaction time for the cops. Sprague is, of course, nuts on all those pictures, and each of his contradictory versions, for when one was destroyed he fabricated another, was always wrong. That business of the rubbers is as you say, because it had rained that a.m. As I recall the story, for I dug the reports up in the Spring of 1966, Mrs. Beck could not have been the B lady and was not at that point. I think the FBI did not get her film, as I recall it, for she either was fibbing or had no film or disappeared.

Bernabei is pretty good.

Good luck,